



SECTION 5 . RISK ASSESSMENT

A risk assessment is the process of measuring the potential loss of life, personal injury, and economic and property damage resulting from identified hazards. Identifying potential hazards and vulnerable assets allows planning personnel to address and reduce hazard impacts, and allows emergency management personnel to establish early response priorities. Results of the risk assessment are used in subsequent mitigation planning processes, including determining and prioritizing mitigation actions that reduce each jurisdiction’s risk to a specified hazard. Past, present, and future conditions must be evaluated to most accurately assess risk for the county and each jurisdiction. The process focuses on the following elements:

- **Hazard identification**—Use all available information to determine what types of hazards may affect a jurisdiction.
- **Profile each hazard**—Understand each hazard in terms of:
 - Extent—Severity of each hazard.
 - Location—Geographic area most affected by the hazard.
 - Previous occurrences and losses
 - Impacts of Climate Change
 - Probability of Future Hazard Events
- **Assess Vulnerability**
 - Exposure identification—Estimate the total number of assets in the jurisdiction that are likely to experience a hazard event if it occurs by overlaying hazard maps with the asset inventories.
 - Vulnerability identification and loss estimation—Assess the impact of hazard events on the people, property, economy, and lands of the region, including estimates of the cost of potential damage or cost that can be avoided by mitigation.
 - Future changes that may impact vulnerability—Analyze how demographic changes, projected development and climate change impacts can alter current exposure and vulnerability.

This section presents the Erie County risk assessment and is outlined as follows:

- Methodology and tools used to conduct the risk assessment
- Identification of hazards of concern that impact Erie County
- Hazards of concern profiles and vulnerability assessment
- Hazard ranking

5.1 METHODOLOGY AND TOOLS

The Erie County risk assessment was updated using the following best-available information:

- A new building stock inventory was generated using Microsoft’s 2018 building footprints, 2020 RSMMeans cost adjustment values, and 2020 tax assessor and parcel data.
- 2015-2019 American Community Survey 5-year Population Estimates were utilized.
- A critical facility was generated and reviewed by the Planning Partnership and county jurisdictions.
- Lifelines were identified in the critical facility inventory to align with Federal Emergency Management Agency’s (FEMA) lifeline definition.
- Hazards-U.S. (Hazus) was used to estimate potential impacts to the flood, wind, and seismic hazards.
- Best-available hazard data were used, as described in this section.

The following sections summarize the asset inventories, methodology and tools used to support the risk assessment process.



5.1.1 Asset Inventories

Erie County assets were identified to assess potential exposure and loss associated with the hazards of concern. For the HMP update, Erie County assessed exposure and vulnerability of the following types of assets: population, buildings and critical facilities and infrastructure, new development, and the environment. Some assets may be more vulnerable because of their physical characteristics or socio-economic uses. To protect individual privacy and the security of critical facilities, information on properties assessed is presented in aggregate, without details about specific individual personal or public properties. Each asset type is described below.



The risk assessment included the collection and use of an expanded and enhanced asset inventory to estimate hazard exposure and vulnerability.

Population

Total population statistics from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate were used to estimate the exposure and potential impacts to the county’s population in place of the 2010 U.S. Census block estimates. To determine population statistics for village and towns, village population totals were subtracted from the total town population. Where villages were split between towns and counties, the percentage of the geographic area of the village within each town and county was calculated and applied to the total population to estimate the population in the village within Erie County. Population counts at the jurisdictional level were averaged among the residential structures in the county to estimate the population at the structure level. This estimate provides a more precise distribution of population across the county compared to only using the Census block or Census tract boundaries. Limitations of these analyses are recognized, and thus the results are used only to provide a general estimate for planning purposes.

FEMA’s Hazus program was used to model estimated potential losses to flood, seismic and wind hazards; as discussed further later in this section. Hazus still contains 2010 U.S. Census data and was used to estimate sheltering and injuries as part of the hazard analysis.

As discussed in Section 4, County Profile, research has shown that some populations are at greater risk from hazard events because of decreased resources or physical abilities. Vulnerable populations in Erie County included in the risk assessment are children, elderly, population below the poverty level, non-English speaking individuals, and persons institutionalized with a disability.

Buildings

A custom general building stock was created countywide. The general building stock was updated countywide with a custom-building inventory using the 2018 Microsoft building stock inventory data set. The building inventory attributes were updated using parcel tax assessor information provided by Erie County GIS. Attributes provided in the associated files were used to further define each structure, such as year built, number of stories, basement type, occupancy class, and square footage. The centroid of each building footprint was used to estimate the building location. Structural and content replacement cost values (RCV) were calculated for each building using the available assessor data, the building footprint, and RSMMeans 2020 values. The analysis used a location factor associated by location zip-code, which produced location factors of 1.01 and 1.05 for residential and non-residential occupancy classes, respectively. RCV is the current cost of returning an asset to its pre-damaged condition using present-day cost of labor and materials. Total RCV consists of both the structural cost to replace



a building and the estimate value of contents of a building. The occupancy classes available in Hazus were condensed into the categories of residential, commercial, industrial, agricultural, religious, governmental, and educational to facilitate analysis and presentation of results. Residential loss estimates addressed both multi-family and single-family dwellings.

Critical Facilities and Lifelines

A critical facility inventory, which includes essential facilities, utilities, transportation features and user-defined facilities, was created by the Planning Partnership and county jurisdictions. The development involved a review for accuracy, additions or deletions of new or moved critical assets, identification of backup power for each asset (if known) and whether the critical facility is considered a lifeline in accordance with FEMA’s definition (refer to Appendix F, Critical Facilities). To protect individual privacy and the security of assets, information is presented in aggregate, without details about specific individual properties or facilities.

A lifeline provides indispensable service that enables the continuous operation of critical business and government functions, and is critical to human health and safety, or economic security (FEMA).

Environment and Land Use Area

National land use land cover data created by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) in 2016 was used to assess land use characteristics of the county. This dataset was converted from a raster to a vector polygon, which informed spatial areas of residential, non-residential, and natural land use areas. Residential land-use types incorporated all classes listed as developed land use, except for those identified as vacant (i.e., Developed – Low Intensity, Developed – Medium Intensity, Developed – High Intensity). Non-residential land-use types included all other classes. Within non-residential land-use types, natural land areas were extracted into a new category, which includes barren land, forest, water, and wetlands. The natural land areas were referenced to calculate the total acres of natural land area exposed to hazard areas of concern.

New Development

In addition to assessing the vulnerability of the built environment, Erie County examined recent development over the last 5 years and anticipated new development in the next 5 years. Each jurisdiction was asked to provide a list by parcel ID or address of major development that has taken place within these timeframes.

New development was identified as (1) anticipated in the next 5 years and (2) recently developed over the last 5 years. An exposure analysis was conducted in geographic information system (GIS) to determine hazard exposure to these development sites. Projects built on multiple parcels were assessed as one unit. If one parcel identified within the project boundary intersected a spatial hazard layer, the entire project was considered “exposed” to the hazard area of concern.

Identifying these changes and integrating new development into the risk assessment provides communities information to consider when developing the mitigation strategy to reduce these vulnerabilities in the future (one tool in the Mitigation Toolbox discussed in Section 6, Mitigation Strategy). The new development is listed in Section 4, County Profile, and hazard exposure analysis results are presented in Section 9, Jurisdictional Annexes, as a table in each annex.

5.1.2 Methodology

To address the requirements of the DMA 2000 and to better understand potential vulnerability and losses associated with hazards of concern, Erie County used standardized tools, combined with local, state, and federal data and expertise to conduct the risk assessment. Three different levels of analysis were used depending upon



the data available for each hazard as described below. Table 5.1-1 summarizes the type of analysis conducted by hazard of concern.

1. **Historic Occurrences and Qualitative Analysis** – This analysis includes an examination of historic impacts to understand potential impacts of future events of similar size. In addition, potential impacts and losses are discussed qualitatively using best-available data and professional judgement.
2. **Exposure Assessment** – This analysis involves overlaying available spatial hazard layers, or hazards with defined extent and locations, with assets in GIS to determine which assets are located in the impact area of the hazard. The analysis highlights which assets are located in the hazard area and may incur future impacts.
3. **Loss Estimation** — The FEMA Hazus modeling software was used to estimate potential losses for the following hazards: flood, earthquake, and hurricane. In addition, an examination of historic impacts and an exposure assessment was conducted for these spatially-delineated hazards.

Table 5.1-1. Summary of Risk Assessment Analyses

Hazard	General Building			
	Population	Stock	Critical Facilities	New Development
Coastal Erosion	E	E	E	E
Cyber Security	Q	Q	Q	Q
Earthquake	E, H	E, H	E, H	E
Expansive Soils	E	E	E	E
Extreme Temperature	Q	Q	Q	Q
Flood	E, H	E, H	E, H	E
Hazmat	E	E	E	E
Landslide	E	E	E	E
Pandemic	Q	Q	Q	Q
Severe Storm	H	H	H	Q
Severe Winter Storm	Q	Q	Q	Q
Utility Failure	Q	Q	Q	Q
Wildfire	E	E	E	E

Notes: E = Exposure analysis; H = Hazus analysis; Q = Qualitative analysis

Hazards U.S. - Multi-Hazard (Hazus-MH)

In 1997, FEMA developed a standardized model for estimating losses caused by earthquakes, known as Hazards U.S. or Hazus. Hazus was developed in response to the need for more effective national-, state-, and community-level planning and the need to identify areas that face the highest risk and potential for loss. Hazus was expanded into a multi-hazard methodology, Hazus with new models for estimating potential losses from wind (hurricanes) and flood (riverine) hazards. Hazus is a GIS-based software tool that applies engineering and scientific risk calculations, which have been developed by hazard and information technology experts, to provide defensible damage and loss estimates. These methodologies are accepted by FEMA and provide a consistent framework for assessing risk across a variety of hazards. The GIS framework also supports the evaluation of hazards and assessment of inventory and loss estimates for these hazards.

Hazus uses GIS technology to produce detailed maps and analytical reports that estimate a community’s direct physical damage to building stock, critical facilities, transportation systems and utility systems. To generate this information, Hazus uses default data for inventory, vulnerability, and hazards; this default data can be supplemented with local data to provide a more refined analysis. Damage reports can include induced damage (inundation, fire, threats posed by hazardous materials and debris) and direct economic and social losses



(casualties, shelter requirements, and economic impact) depending on the hazard and available local data. Hazus’ open data architecture can be used to manage community GIS data in a central location. The use of this software also promotes consistency of data output now and in the future and standardization of data collection and storage. More information on Hazus is available at <http://www.fema.gov/hazus>.

In general, modeled losses were estimated in the program using depth grids for the flood analysis and probabilistic analyses were performed to develop expected or estimated distribution of losses (mean return period losses) for hurricane wind and seismic hazards. The probabilistic model generates estimated damages and losses for specified return periods (e.g., 100- and 500-year). Table 5.1-2 displays the various levels of analyses that can be conducted using the Hazus software.

Table 5.1-2. Summary of Hazus Analysis Levels

Hazus Analysis Levels	
Level 1	Hazus provides hazard and inventory data with minimal outside data collection or mapping.
Level 2	Analysis involves augmenting the Hazus provided hazard and inventory data with more recent or detailed data for the study region, referred to as “local data”
Level 3	Analysis involves adjusting the built-in loss estimation models used for the hazard loss analyses. This Level is typical done in conjunction with the use of local data.

Coastal Erosion

Best-available data were used to assess Erie County’s vulnerability to coastal erosion. The New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYS DEC) has paper maps from 1988 that show the Coastal Erosion Hazard Area (CEHA) of the Towns of Bryant, Evans, and Hamburg. Although dated, the NYS DEC commissioner is tasked to review the boundaries of these hazard areas every 10 years and after major coastal storms and to revise the maps if the CEHA boundary changed by 25 feet or more. These CEHA buffers were digitized for the available towns and exposure was analyzed. The City of Tonawanda, City of Lackawanna, City of Buffalo, Town of Grand Island, and Town of Tonawanda also sit along the shore of Lake Erie, but do not have CEHA maps available.

Cyber Security

All of Erie County is exposed to cyber security attack events. A qualitative assessment was conducted to assess Erie County’s risk to cyber security attacks. Information from the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, FEMA, and NYS Department of Financial Services were referenced to review the county’s overall risk.

Earthquake

A probabilistic assessment was conducted for Erie County for the 500-year mean return period (MRPs) through a Level 2 analysis in Hazus to analyze the earthquake hazard and provide a range of loss estimates. The probabilistic method uses information from historic earthquakes and inferred faults, locations and magnitudes, and computes the probable ground shaking levels that may be experienced during a recurrence period by Census tract.

As noted in the Hazus Earthquake User Manual, “Although the software offers users the opportunity to prepare comprehensive loss estimates, it should be recognized that uncertainties are inherent in any estimation methodology, even with state-of-the-art techniques. Any region or city studied will have an enormous variety of buildings and facilities of different sizes, shapes, and structural systems that have been constructed over a range of years under diverse seismic design codes. There are a variety of components that contribute to transportation and utility system damage estimations. These components can have differing seismic resistance” (FEMA 2020). However, Hazus’ potential loss estimates are acceptable for the purposes of this HMP.



Ground shaking is the primary cause of earthquake damage to man-made structures and soft soils amplify ground shaking. One contributor to the site amplification is the velocity at which the rock or soil transmits shear waves (S-waves). The National Earthquake Hazard Reductions Program (NEHRP) has developed five soil classifications defined by their shear-wave velocity that impact the severity of an earthquake. The soil classification system ranges from A to E, where A represents hard rock that reduces ground motions from an earthquake and E represents soft soils that amplify and magnify ground shaking and increase building damage and losses. Class D and E NEHRP soils are the two classes most susceptible to amplified ground motion during an earthquake.

An exposure analysis was conducted for the county’s assets (population, building stock, critical facilities, and new development) using NEHRP soil data provided by New York State and the national landslide susceptibility data where landslide susceptibility was listed as high susceptibility. The exposure analysis focused on soil types that would experience amplified ground motion during an earthquake (i.e., Class D and E). Assets with their centroid in the hazard areas were totaled to estimate the numbers and values vulnerable to these soil types.

Data from New York State were used in Hazus to replace default NEHRP soils. Groundwater was set at a depth of 5 feet (default setting). The default assumption is a magnitude 7.0 earthquake for all return periods. Although damages are estimated at the census tract level, results were presented at the municipal level. Because there are multiple Census tracts that contain more than one jurisdiction, an area analysis was used to extract the percent of each tract that falls within individual jurisdictions. The percentage was multiplied against the results calculated for each tract and summed for each jurisdiction.

Damage estimates are calculated for losses to buildings (structural and non-structural) and contents; structural losses include load carrying components of the structure, and non-structural losses include those to architectural, mechanical, and electrical components of the structure, such as nonbearing walls, veneer and finishes, HVAC systems, boilers, etc.

Expansive Soils

Soils data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) and Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) were used to determine the expansive soils hazard areas in Erie County. Soil classes that have a linear extensibility, or the shrink-swell potential, of greater than 3-percent were considered expansive soils. The NRCS Soil Report defines linear extensibility as, “the change in length of an unconfined clod as moisture content is decreased from a moist to a dry state.” The report separates linear extensibility over a range (volume change) by depth (inches). The average of the median linear extensibility was calculated for each soil type. If the linear extensibility was greater than 3 and less than or equal to 6, it was considered to have moderate linear extensibility. There are 31 types of soils that are considered to have moderate linear extensibility, with no rating higher than 5.7. An exposure analysis was implemented by overlaying the asset inventory layers and the expansive soils hazard area. Assets with their centroid located in the hazard area were totaled to estimate the totals and values exposed to expansive soils.

Extreme Temperatures

All of Erie County is exposed to extreme temperature events. A qualitative assessment was conducted for the extreme temperatures hazard. Information from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the National Weather Service, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Planning Partnership were used to assess the potential impacts to the county’s assets.



Flood

The 1- and 0.2-percent annual chance flood events were examined to evaluate the county's risk from the flood hazard. These flood events are generally those considered by planners and evaluated under federal programs such as NFIP.

The following data were used to evaluate exposure and determine potential future losses for this plan update:

- The Erie County FEMA Effective Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) dated June 2019
- The Erie County FEMA Preliminary Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM) dated February 2019
- The depth grid developed by using data from the NYOIT 1-meter Resolution Digital Elevation Model (DEM), and the 2019 FEMA preliminary and effective DFIRM

The preliminary and effective Erie County FEMA DFIRM published in 2019 were used to evaluate exposure and determine potential future losses. In the cases where there was a new preliminary panel, the preliminary DFIRM was used. This was combined with the effective DFIRM for all other areas. The depth grid generated using the DFIRM and 1-meter DEM was integrated into the Hazus riverine flood model and used to estimate potential losses for the 1-percent annual chance flood event.

To estimate exposure to the 1-percent- and 0.2-percent annual chance flood events, the DFIRM flood boundaries were overlaid on the centroids of updated assets (population, building stock, critical facilities, and new development). Centroids that intersected the flood boundaries were totaled to estimate the building RCV and population vulnerable to the flood inundation areas. A Level 2 Hazus riverine flood analysis was performed. Both the critical facility and building inventories were formatted to be compatible with Hazus and its Comprehensive Data Management System (CDMS). Once updated with the inventories, the Hazus riverine flood model was run to estimate potential losses in Erie County for the 1-percent annual chance flood events. A user-defined analysis was also performed for the building stock. Buildings located within the floodplain were imported as user-defined facilities to estimate potential losses to the building stock at the structural level. Hazus calculated the estimated potential losses to the population (default 2010 U.S. Census data across dasymetric blocks), potential damages to the general building stock, and potential damages to critical facility inventories based on the depth grids generated and the default Hazus damage functions in the flood model.

Hazardous Materials

Overall, potential losses from hazardous materials (hazmat) incidents are difficult to quantify due to the many variables and human elements. Data regarding this hazard were obtained from Erie County and the Planning Partnership as well as appropriate state and federal resources.

The exposure analysis was conducted for the county's assets (population, building stock, critical facilities, and new development) using a radius around potential HazMat incident sites as follows: exposure within 0.5 mile of highways, exposure within 0.5 mile of railways, exposure within 0.5 mile of pipelines, and exposure within an individually unique area of hazmat facilities. Assets with their centroids in one or more of these hazard areas were considered vulnerable to a hazardous material incident.

Landslide

An exposure assessment was conducted using a steep slope layer to determine the county's risk to the landslide hazard. A steep slope layer was created using the 2019 NYOIT 1-meter DEM. The DEM was converted to percent slope and slopes greater than 25 percent were selected. The county's assets (population, buildings, critical facilities, and new development) were examined to determine if they are built in areas of steep slopes (greater than 25 percent).



Pandemic

All of Erie County is considered exposed to disease outbreak events. A qualitative analysis was conducted using data from the county’s Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) resource website and research from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to review the county’s risk to illnesses, including the most recent COVID-19 outbreak.

Severe Storm

A Hazus probabilistic analysis was performed to analyze the wind hazard losses for Erie County for the 100- and 500-year MRP events. The probabilistic Hazus hurricane model activates a database of thousands of potential storms that have tracks and intensities reflecting the full spectrum of Atlantic hurricanes observed since 1886 and identifies those with tracks associated with Erie County. Hazus contains data on historic hurricane events and wind speeds. It also includes surface roughness and vegetation (tree coverage) maps for the area. Surface roughness and vegetation data support the modeling of wind force across various types of land surfaces. Default demographic and updated building and critical facility inventories in Hazus were used for the analysis. Although damages are estimated at the census tract level, results were presented at the municipal level. Because there are multiple census tracts that contain more than one jurisdiction, a density analysis was used to extract the percent of building structures that fall within each tract and jurisdiction. The percentage was multiplied against the results calculated for each tract and summed for each jurisdiction.

Severe Winter Storm

All of Erie County is exposed and vulnerable to the winter storm hazard. In general, structural impacts include damage to roofs and building frames, rather than building content. Current modeling tools are not available to estimate specific losses for this hazard. A percentage of the custom-building stock structural replacement cost value was utilized to estimate damages that could result from winter storm conditions (i.e., 1-percent, 5-percent, and 10-percent of total replacement cost value). Given professional knowledge and currently-available information, the potential losses for this hazard are considered to be overestimated; hence, providing a conservative estimate for losses associated with winter storm events.

Utility Failure

To assess the county’s vulnerability to the utility failure hazard and its associated impacts, a qualitative assessment was conducted. Information from the Erie County Water Authority, EPA, and FEMA were referenced to assess the potential impacts to the county’s assets from utility failure.

Wildfire

The Wildland-Urban Interface (Interface and Intermix) obtained through the SILVIS Laboratory, Department of Forest Ecology and Management, University of Wisconsin – Madison, was referenced to delineate wildfire hazard areas. The University of Wisconsin – Madison wildland fire hazard areas are based on the 2010 Census and 2006 National Land Cover Dataset and the Protected Areas Database. For this risk assessment, the high-, medium-, and low-density interface areas were combined and used as the “Interface” hazard area, and the high-, medium-, and low-density intermix areas were combined and used as the “Intermix” hazard areas.

To determine what assets are exposed to wildfire, available and appropriate GIS data were overlaid with the hazard area. Assets with their centroid located in the hazard area were totaled to estimate the totals and values exposed to a wildfire event.



Considerations for Mitigation and Next Steps

The following items are to be discussed for considerations for the next plan update to enhance the vulnerability assessment:

- All Hazards
 - Create an updated user-defined general building stock dataset using up-to-date parcels, footprints, and RSMeans values.
 - Utilize updated and current demographic data. If 2020 U.S. Census demographic data are available at the U.S. Census block level during the next plan update, use the Census block estimates and residential structures for a more precise distribution of population, or the current American Community Survey 5-Year Estimate populations counts at the Census tract level.
- Earthquake
 - Identify unreinforced masonry in critical facilities and privately-owned buildings (i.e., residences) by accessing local knowledge, tax assessor information, and/or pictometry/orthophotos. These buildings may not withstand earthquakes of certain magnitudes and plans to provide emergency response or recovery efforts at these properties can be developed.
- Extreme Temperatures
 - Track extreme temperature data for injuries, deaths, shelter needs, pipe freezing, agricultural losses, and other impacts to determine distributions of most at-risk areas.
- Flood
 - The general building stock inventory can be updated to include attributes regarding first floor elevation and foundation type (basement, slab on grade, etc.) to enhance loss estimates.
 - Conduct a Hazus loss analysis for more frequent flood events (e.g., 10- and 50-year flood events).
 - Conduct a repetitive loss area analysis.
 - Continue to expand and update urban flood areas to further inform mitigation.
 - As more current FEMA floodplain data become available (i.e., DFIRMs), update the exposure analysis and generate a more detailed flood depth grid that can be integrated into the current Hazus version.
- Landslide
 - A pilot study conducted in Schenectady County, NY (Landslide Susceptibility – A Pilot Study of Schenectady County, NY) provided a detailed methodology for delineating high-risk landslide areas. This study looked at a variety of environmental characteristics including slope and soil conditions to determine areas at risk to landslide. To coincide with the methodology of that study, the generated slopes were categorized into five classes: 0 to 2 percent; 3 to 7 percent; 8 to 15 percent; 16 to 25 percent; Greater than 25 percent. Should the county determine the need for a more detailed assessment of risk, it could determine steep slope by other percent categorizations. Additional environmental and soil characteristics used in the Schenectady County plan can be collected and used to follow the methodology used to further delineate the county's most at-risk areas.
- Pandemic
 - As more information has been collected about COVID-19, future assessments should consider adding an evaluation of how the county responded to the pandemic. It should identify critical facilities that have vulnerabilities/limitations to respond effectively, as well as major transit routes that connect the community to facilities that help treat or vaccinate patients impacted by the pandemic.



- Severe Storm
 - The general building stock inventory can be updated to include attributes regarding protection against strong winds, such as hurricane straps, to enhance loss estimates.
 - Integrate evacuation route data that are currently being developed.
- Wildfire
 - General building stock inventory can be updated to include attributes such as roofing material or fire detection equipment or integrate distance to fuels as another measure of vulnerability.

5.1.3 Data Source Summary

Table 5.1-3 summarizes the data sources used for the risk assessment for this plan.

Table 5.1-3. Risk Assessment Data Documentation

Data	Source	Date	Format
Population data	U.S. Census Bureau; American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates	2010; 2019	Digital (GIS) format
Building Inventory	Erie Parcel Data, Microsoft Building Footprints, Tetra Tech	2018/2020	Digital (GIS) format
Wildfire Fuel Hazard	University of Wisconsin - Madison	2010	Digital (GIS) format
Critical facilities	Erie Planning Partnership and County Jurisdictions	2020	Digital (GIS) format
Digitized Effective FIRM maps	FEMA	2019	Digital (GIS) format
Digitized Preliminary FIRM maps	FEMA	2019	Digital (GIS) format
Expansive Soils	USDA/NRCS	2020	Report
NEHRP Soil	NYS	n.d.	Digital (GIS) format
Rail Network	NYS DOT	2013	Digital (GIS) format
Road Network	NYS GIS	2020	Digital (GIS) format
1-Meter Digital Elevation Model	New York Office of Information Technology	2019	Tif
New Development Data	Erie Planning Partnership and County Jurisdictions	2020	Digital (GIS) Format

Notes: DOT = Department of Transportation
 FEMA = Federal Emergency Management Agency
 NRCS = Natural Resources Conservation Service
 USDA = U.S. Department of Agriculture

Limitations

Loss estimates, exposure assessments, and hazard-specific vulnerability evaluations rely on the best-available data and methodologies. Uncertainties are inherent in any loss estimation methodology and arise in part from incomplete scientific knowledge concerning natural hazards and their effects on the built environment. Uncertainties also result from the following:

- 1) Approximations and simplifications necessary to conduct such a study
- 2) Incomplete or dated inventory, demographic, or economic parameter data
- 3) The unique nature, geographic extent, and severity of each hazard
- 4) Mitigation measures already employed by the participating municipalities
- 5) The amount of advance notice residents have to prepare for a specific hazard event
- 6) Uncertainty of climate change projections

These factors can result in a range of uncertainty in loss estimates, possibly by a factor of two or more. Therefore, potential exposure and loss estimates are approximate. These results do not predict precise results and should be used to understand relative risk. Over the long term, Erie County will collect additional data and update and refine existing inventories to assist in estimating potential losses.



Potential economic loss is based on the present value of the general building stock using best-available data. The county acknowledges significant impacts may occur to critical facilities and infrastructure as a result of these hazard events causing great economic loss. However, monetized damage estimates to critical facilities and infrastructure, and economic impacts were not quantified and require more detailed loss analyses. In addition, economic impacts to industry such as tourism and the real-estate market were not analyzed.

DRAFT